Mr. Nanje Gowda.—

Has it come to your notice that in Gubbi and some other taluks, the crops have suffered in cases where this variety of seed was used ?

Director of Agriculture.—

Yes.

Mr. Nanje Gowda.—

Should not Government compensate the raiyats for it when the grievance is so general ?

Director of Agriculture.—

As already stated, the loss was not due to the seed. We know of cases where the same seed has yielded good results.

Mr. Nanje Gowda.—

Are Government aware that, throughout the Chiknaikanahalli Taluk, the crops have failed on account of this seed ?

Director of Agriculture.—

No, we are not aware of that.

Mr. H. M. Channabasappa.—

In case there is nothing wrong with the seed, why should there be such a wholesale failure of crops even granting that there are differences in the nature of soils ?

Director of Agriculture.—

Much depends upon manure and other factors.

Mr. H. M. Channabasappa.—

If crops fail when the department guarantees the quality of the seed, who should be responsible for the failure ?

Director of Agriculture.—

No sort of guarantee was given by the department.

Messrs. D. Y. Siddappa (Tumkur Municipality); **S. Sorappa** and **K. P. Chennabasaviah** (Sira Taluk); and **Sheik Mohadeen Sab** (Sira Municipality).—

Q.—26. Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) How many applications were received from the backward classes during the past one year for the posts of

(i) Revenue Probationers (Amildari), (ii) Excise Inspectors, and (iii) Sub-Registrars ?

(*b*) From what communities were the applications received ?

(*c*) Which of the communities got these appointments ?

(*d*) How many applicants were interviewed, and in respect of what posts, and who were given interviews ?

General Secretary to Government.—

A.—26. (*a*) (i) 184.

(ii) 125—for only one vacancy of Probationary Excise Inspector.

(iii) 177—for two vacancies of Probationary Sub-Registrars.

(*b*) (i)—

Adikarnataka	Mahomedan	Satani
Brahmin	Mudaliar	Somavamsa
Besthar	Mahratta	Aryakshatriya
Bhavasara Kshatriya	Naidu	Tigala
Banajiga	Nagartha	Ursu
Christian	Nayinda	Uppara
Ganigar	Pallegar	Vaisya
Jain	Parivara	Visvakarma
Kuruba	Pattegar	Vokkaligar
Kumbara	Pillai	Yadava
Kunchitiga	Rajaput	Coorgi Kshatriya
Kurubinasetti	Reddi	Gujarathi Vaisya
Lingayet	Sadar	

(ii) The principal communities were—Lingayets, Adikarnatakas, Banajigas, Kurubars, Vokkaligars, Kshatriyas, Vaisyas, Mudaliars, Naidus, Yadavas, Christians, Jains, Mahomedans and other caste Hindus.

(iii) The principal communities were—Lingayets, Mahomedans, Vokkaligars, Kunchitigars, Vaisyas, Banajigas, Kurubars, Kshatriyas and Adikarnatakas; and the minor communities were—Agasa, Parivara, Ganigar, Bedar, Goldsmith, Satani, etc.

(*c*) (i) Brahmin | Ursu
Mahomedan | Vokkaliga

(ii) Adikarnataka.

(iii) Kunchitiga and Mahomedan.

(*d*) (i) 26.

(ii) Twenty-three of the candidates possessing the highest educational qualifications were invited for an interview and all of them turned up and were interviewed.

(iii) Thirty of the candidates possessing the highest educational qualifications were invited for an interview, of whom 29 turned up. All of them were interviewed.

Supplementary Questions and Answers.

Mr. D. Y. Siddappa.—

Were these appointments advertised as General or Special vacancies?

General Secretary to Government.—

They are all special appointments.

Mr. D. Y. Siddappa.—

If they are all special, to what communities should they all have gone? Were they intended for any particular candidates?

General Secretary to Government.—

No; the appointments have all been made according to the rules of recruitment.

Mr. D. Y. Siddappa.—

With reference to question (*d*), may I know the names of candidates who were granted interviews together with their castes?

General Secretary to Government.—

We have not got all the lists here.

Mr. D. Y. Siddappa.—

Did any graduate belonging to the Thigalar community apply for any of the posts?

General Secretary to Government.—

Yes.

Mr. D. Y. Siddappa.—

Are you aware that he is the first graduate from that community?

General Secretary to Government.—

No.

Mr. D. Y. Siddappa.—

Should not the Government have shown special preference to the claims of this community?

General Secretary to Government.—

It is the policy of Government to provide for the adequate representation of all castes and communities in the public service.

Mr. D. Y. Siddappa.—

Will at least the next chance be given to him?

General Secretary to Government.—

It is not possible to say that now.

Mr. N. G. Sanjeeviah.—

If all the appointments had been made in accordance with the recruitment rules, as stated, should not men with the highest qualifications as among backward class candidates have got them?

General Secretary to Government.—

But preference had to be shown to Adikarnataka candidates.

Mr. N. G. Sanjeeviah.—

It is only when non-Brahmin candidates apply that you bring in the question of showing preference to Adikarnatakas. Why not consistently do so in all cases?

Dewan.—

That is an argument and not a question.

Mr. N. G. Sanjeeviah.—

For the Excise Probationer's post was it not the Kurubar applicant that had the highest qualification? Will the Government enquire why he was not appointed?

General Secretary to Government.—

The enquiry now will serve no useful purpose.

Mr. N. G. Sanjeeviah.—

It will show that the appointments were not fairly distributed. Will the Government at least see that such injustice will not happen in future?

General Secretary to Government.—

I do not agree that there has been any injustice.

Mr. H. M. Channabasappa.—

When a certain qualification is prescribed for a post, why should you select candidates having some other qualifications even though the latter be higher?

First Member of Council.—

That is not an issue relevant to the question under consideration.

Messrs. H. B. Gundappa (Hassan Taluk) and **A. S. Nanjundappa** (Alur Sub-Taluk).—

Q.—27. Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) The total length of the State Fund Road between Bangalore and Mysore?

(*b*) How many miles of the road have been tarred so far and what is the expenditure incurred per mile?

(*c*) In how many places deviations of the road have been made and at what cost?

(*d*) What is the total amount proposed to be spent for tarring, effecting deviations and for beautification, etc.?

Secretary to Government, Public Works Department.—

A.—27. (*a*) Eighty-seven miles.

(*b*) Four and a half miles (two and a half miles from Mysore end and two miles from Bangalore end).

Rs. 16,000 including cost of re-metalling, soling and asphalting.

(*c*) Seven, at a cost of Rs. 1,30,291 up-to-date.

(*d*) No definite programme is prepared.

The road has still to be improved. Further improvements will be made as funds permit.